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Abstract—This work presents a hybrid tissue-anchoring mechanism that combines a pre-compressed microspring for autonomous actuation and a barbed microneedle (MN) for robust tissue anchoring with minimized preloading. The spring-microneedle unit (SMU) allows facile integration with potential ingestible resident systems to enable prolonged operation in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract (e.g., biomarker monitoring and therapeutic drug delivery). Utilizing high-precision direct laser writing (DLW), the SMU is directly fabricated on a flexible polyimide substrate, which can be conveniently attached onto the exterior packages of ingestible devices to reduce the form factor and therefore to save room for multifunctional system components. The 3-D printed microspring can be compressed and embedded within a thin layer of dissolvable polymer (polyethylene glycol) and autonomously actuated with a ~140-µm displacement upon polymer dissolution in aqueous media at 37°C. Mechanical calibration of the SMU demonstrated an average release force of 8 mN for a 100-µm actuation. According to our previous research into barbed MNs, this actuation force provides a large enough preload for a MN to achieve robust tissue-anchoring. Above all, the novel and effective tissue-anchoring mechanism has a great potential for enabling long-term operation of miniature biosensing and drug delivery systems.

I. INTRODUCTION

MINIMALLY invasive, ingestible resident devices with prolonged GI operation offer significant opportunities to advance diagnostics and treatment of chronic GI symptoms [1]. For example, using an ingestible device to anchor a resident device on the GI mucosal surface can enable functionalities such as long-term monitoring and therapeutic administration to treat or mitigate inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) progression, which requires extended intervention [2], [3].

However, one key challenge in tissue anchoring is the development of a compact, passive mechanism that can be integrated into a pill-sized system. Existing tissue-anchoring technologies (e.g., chemical muco-adhesives and mechanical anchoring devices) require complicated systems and/or have a large form factor to provide effective preloading and control, limiting their ability to be integrated into ingestible devices [4]–[6]. Recently, the development of bio-inspired technology has provided insight for passive tissue anchoring using barbed MNs [7]–[10]. The barbed MN structures provide the advantage of a small tissue penetration force with a higher pull-out force, resulting in reduced preloading and robust tissue anchoring performance. However, their performances are limited by the resolution of conventional microfabrication methods and materials with the appropriate stiffness to achieve a high pull-out force to penetration force ratio (PPR).

Previously, we designed, fabricated, and demonstrated a parasite-inspired spiny MN (SMN) [11], closely mimicking the tissue anchoring proboscis of spiny headed worms [12]. Benefiting from high-fidelity direct laser writing (DLW) fabrication, the MN precisely replicates the biomimetic model with high-resolution features (ex. fine surface barb with tip diameter around 1 µm). The results demonstrate robust tissue anchoring performances on porcine small intestine tissues with a 25-mN pull-out force and more than an order of magnitude lower penetration force (1.6mN) [11], providing a simple and robust tissue-anchoring component with a PPR of over 10.

This work presents a passive, hybrid tissue-anchoring mechanism via incorporating micro-springs with barbed MNs within a compact structure, leveraging the state-of-the-art 3-D DLW technology to fabricate the hybrid structure on flexible substrates (Fig. 1). Specifically, each MN is built on top of a micro-spring that is compressed and constrained by a layer of dissolvable polymer. The spring-microneedle unit (SMU) can be actuated via the dissolution of polymer in aqueous media to release the MN for tissue anchoring.

Here, we successfully demonstrate the DLW fabrication of the SMU on a flexible polyimide surface and the compression of the SMU within dissolvable polyethylene glycol/PEG. We also demonstrate the efficacy of the polymer dissolution with DI water at body temperature (37°C) showing 140 µm of MN displacement within 103 mins. The SMU demonstrates good mechanical performance with a stable compression profile and an adequate release force capable of effective tissue anchoring. Additionally, we further investigate SMNs via characterizing the effect of Young’s modulus and the surface microhook arrangement. The results provide an array of options for optimizing the tissue-anchoring performance to assist the future design of SMNs (e.g., tuning the preloading actuation force and tissue-anchoring strength). Above all,
Fig. 1. Images of hybrid tissue-anchoring apparatus with (A) a 1 × 3 spring-microneedle unit (SMU) array wrapping around a pill-sized dummy capsule (d: 9.5 mm, w: 5 mm, scale bars: 2 mm), the zoomed-in image shows that each SMU consists of a barbed MN on top of a conical micro-spring. (B) Illustration of the tissue-anchoring mechanism with a compressed SMU (left) and released SMU (right) penetrating within the GI tissue, activated via PEG dissolution (4,000Mw, Sigma-Aldrich). The released SMU height (975 μm) is taller than the capsule trench (750 μm) so that the MN will be able to interact with the tissue when released.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Design of Hybrid Tissue-Anchoring Unit

Fig. 1A shows a conceptual ingestible resident capsule integrated with a 1 × 3 SMU array compactly assembled on the surface trench (750 μm depth) of the capsule package using a flexible polyimide substrate (Kapton tape). Each MN is 260 μm tall with 48 barbs, allowing the robust tissue-anchoring performance demonstrated in the previous work. The backward facing barbs near the tip of the MN play an important role in enabling the low tissue penetration force and more than 10-fold higher pull-out force [11].

The conical micro-spring design allows reduced solid height for a compact design as each active coil is partially recessed within the next larger coil. The overall volume of the SMU is only about 5% of the overall capsule package volume. The conical design also provides lateral actuation stability as the base coils have larger diameters (250 μm to 100 μm) with less tendency to buckle than conventional compression springs. The design contains 4 spring coils with an 80-μm wire diameter, allowing stable directional actuation with an estimated spring constant of 340 N/m and 100 mN peak compression force at 300 μm displacement. These calculations are based on the conical spring model [12], as well as the measured Young’s modulus and shear strength of the IP-S photoresist [14], [15]. The design parameters and calculations of the conical spring are listed in Table I.

The passive tissue-anchoring mechanism is depicted in Fig. 1B. Before actuation, the SMU array is compressed in solid PEG within the trench of the capsule (the overall SMU height is about 975 μm). After PEG dissolution in a 37°C aqueous environment (similar to the GI environment), the SMU array is actuated to anchor the MN tip into the GI tissue.

B. SEM Characterization

The SMU displays high-fidelity features with up to 1-μm resolution (Fig. 2). The conical spring demonstrates uniform spring wire diameter measured of 80 μm and an evenly distributed pitch of adjacent coils (Fig. 2A and Fig. 2B). The barbs on the MN surface are backward facing with sharp tips (Fig. 2C), which is essential for tissue anchoring [11]. The overall height of an uncompressed SMU is about 975 μm.

C. Direct Laser Writing Fabrication

The SMU is fabricated utilizing a high-precision DLW technology (Photonic Professional GT, Nanoscribe GmbH, Germany), which is based on highly localized interactions between a femtosecond laser and photosensitive material. First, the 3D model of the SMU is created via SolidWorks (Dassault System, France) computer-aided design (CAD) software. The CAD file, in the format of stereolithography (STL), is then imported and processed in the DeScribe software for fabrication coding. The design is then printed using the Dip-in Laser Lithography (DiLL) objective (25X magnification, NA = 0.8) with a negative-tone IP-S photoresist (Nanoscribe GmbH,
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Germany, suitable for mesoscale prototyping compared to other photoresist and used by [10] on Kapton tape on ITO-coated glass. The coded file is loaded into the DLW software, Nanowrite, for fabrication under the DLW settings of 50 mW laser power and 100 mm/s scan speed (galvo mode) to achieve 1-μm lateral slicing layer height, 1-μm hatching distance, and 26-minute fabrication time. After the laser writing, the sample is immersed in propylene glycol monomethyl ether acetate (PGMEA) to develop for 5 minutes, followed by 2-min of isopropyl alcohol (IPA) cleaning.

Fig. 3 shows the bottom-up DLW fabrication process of a single SMU on a Kapton tape covered ITO coated glass substrate. The base and conical spring are printed first, followed by the barbed MN structure. The transparency of Kapton tape allows the system to automatically locate the refractive interface on the ITO substrate surface. Therefore, the laser interaction is confined within the Kapton tape during the first 25-μm of printing and does not cure the photoresist. As a result, the as-fabricated SMU has a base thickness of about 25 μm instead of the designed value of 50 μm (Fig. 2D). This phenomenon of laser interaction can be observed in Fig. 3A (no photoresist is cured) and later Fig. 3B (the SMU base fabrication). Due to the limitation of the 300-μm by 300-μm lateral laser working area, the SMU is split into 5 parts to complete, with 2-μm crosslinking stitching overlapping. At t = 16 min, Fig. 3C shows that the microspring (250-μm base diameter, 80-μm wire diameter) sits within the central building block so that it is free from the risk of low connection strength at the overlapping region. At t = 25 min (Fig. 3D), the barb tips are first created without any support due to the bottom-up fabrication required to accommodate the microspring fabrication, which is notably different from the DLW process demonstrated previously for barbed MNs [11].

D. SMU Compression and Assembly

Fig. 4 presents the assembly process for compressing an SMU with melted PEG. The selection of PEG is based on its melting point (53-58°C) and dissolution rate. The relatively low melting point allows the melted PEG at 100°C to have long enough transition time before solidification for assembly. The assembly process includes: 1) prepare a 2-mm by 2-mm, 750-μm thick PDMS film and pattern the film with a 1-mm biopsy punch to create a central hole, 2) place the PDMS well on the as-fabricated SMU substrate with the central hole and the SMU aligned concentrically, 3) add a droplet of aqueous PEG which is pre-melted at 100°C (Fig. 4A), and 4) immediately press the tip of the SMN completely into the
The recovery displacement is over 140 μm by a high-speed camera (Redlake MotionPro HS-3, USA). Scale bars are 500 μm.

A. Polymer Dissolution Measurement

PDMS well and hold for 2 min so that the PEG will solidify and hold the compressed SMU in place (Fig. 4B). The SMU compression (225 μm) equals the height difference of the original SMU (975 mm) and the PDMS well (750 mm). The recovery displacement is over 140 μm (h5 – h1). The images are taken by a high-speed camera (Redlake MotionPro HS-3, USA). Scale bars are 500 μm.

Fig. 5. Optical images showing the PEG dissolution process, revealing an embedded SMU with 37°C water. (A) t = 0 s, (B) t = 13 min, displacement measurement h1 = 1.47 mm, the SMU tip appears, (C) t = 16 min, displacement measurement h2 = 1.48 mm, (D) t = 25 min, displacement measurement h3 = 1.56 mm. (E) t = 72 min, displacement measurement h4 = 1.61 mm. (F) t = 103 min, displacement measurement h5 = 1.61 mm.

The recovery displacement is over 140 μm (h5 – h1). The images are taken by a high-speed camera (Redlake MotionPro HS-3, USA). Scale bars are 500 μm.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A. Polymer Dissolution Measurement

Fig. 5 displays the gradual dissolution of PEG upon rinsing with 37°C water (body temperature) to verify the passive SMU actuation mechanism. Initially, the SMU is embedded in PEG after assembly (Fig. 5A). As body temperature (38°C) water droplets (~0.06 μL) are gradually added onto the assembly, the SMU tip slowly appears with a measured height from the base (including the substrate and the SMU) of 1.47 mm (h1) and 1.48 mm (h2) at t = 13 min (Fig. 5B) and t = 16 min (Fig. 5C), respectively. At t = 25 min, the entire MN appears with a measured height of 1.56 mm (h3) (Fig. 5D). At t = 72 min, the majority of the SMU appears with some PEG and trapped within the space of the microspring with a measured height of 1.61 mm (h4) (Fig. 5E). At t = 103 min, the majority of the SMU appears and the final height remains at 1.61 mm (h5) (Fig. 5F). Because the initial displacement at t = 0 cannot be directly measured (the microneedle is not visible when fully embedded in the PEG), the estimated minimum displacement recovery is 140 μm, determined via subtracting the displacement between h5 and h1. This measured actuation displacement is large enough for the MN to interact with GI tissue, confirming the autonomous actuation capability. Note that the dissolution time for the maximum actuation can be tuned by altering the molecular weight of the selected PEG (the higher molecular weight, the slower dissolution) [15]. Future work includes demonstrating a faster dissolving mechanism to allow MNs to penetrate the tissue before the capsule to be pushed away. Additionally, the design of the polymer coating allows the future design of targeted actuation of the MNs based on the media pH profile capable of targeting specific GI locations (ex. the stomach has low pH while the small intestines have neutral pH) [16].

B. SMU Actuation Measurement

The fabricated conical micro-spring requires mechanical characterization to verify stability and compression failure. Fig. 6 presents the typical compression and release profile of the SMU showing that the spring can withstand a 300-μm compression displacement and fully recover after release. There was no spring coil damage observed during the test. Also, the SMU remains adhered to the polyimide substrate during the test. The results indicate that the design characteristics are appropriate for the SMU actuation.

Fig. 6. Characterization of the mechanical compression and release of the SMU showing that the spring can withstand a 300-μm compression displacement and fully recover after release. Scale bars are 500 μm.
Fig. 7: Mechanical characterization of SMU during compression and release showing (A) a representative force-displacement curve, and (B) average force measured at every 0.1 mm displacement (N = 5). The loading rates are 3 mm/min and 0.6 mm/min for compression and release, respectively, to mimic the PEG assembly and dissolution rates.

59.3 mN to 32.7 mN, indicating stress relaxation. The difference between the compression and release (hysteresis) indicates that the SMU spring has a considerably large energy dissipation, possibly due to the internal friction of the building block material (IP-S, Nanoscribe Gmbh, Germany). The hold time of the compression of the SMUs are about 30 s. Future work needs to address the total relaxation of the SMU to demonstrate long-term actuation performance.

Fig. 7B shows that the average compression force increases up to 59 mN over a 300-μm displacement, showing an average spring constant of 197 mN/mm. The lower calibration values compared to the analytical estimations are attributed to the variation in the mechanical property of the cured photoresist (IP-S, Nanoscribe Gmbh, Germany). The releasing force, measured after stress relaxation, demonstrates 8 mN at 100-μm SMU actuation relative to the maximally compressed state (equivalent to a 200-μm displacement from its position before loading), ultimately indicating sufficient mechanical rigidity to withstand the 1.6 mN MN penetration force [11] and the 8 μN peristaltic force [18]. Additionally, cyclical mechanical tests of both single SMU and 1 × 3 SMU array are presented in Table II and Table III, respectively. The measured recovery force at 200 μm displacement or 100 μm actuation demonstrated a large enough actuation force for robust tissue anchoring (> 2 mN per MN). Notably, the 1 × 3 SMU array presents a much higher actuation force, possibly due to secondary parasitic motions of individual SMUs (e.g., lateral collapse) that provide interactive supports to each other, demonstrating the advantage of an SMU array for future capsule integration.

C. MN Characterization

Our previous study of the bio-inspired, tissue-anchoring MNs demonstrated exceptionally low tissue penetration forces while maintaining high pull-out forces [11], with an over 10-fold enhancement in pull-out/penetration performance compared to the state-of-the-art [7]–[9]. Here, we further investigated the photoresist material properties and the spiny microhook patterns to guide the future design of the SMU to adjust the actuation force and tissue-anchoring strength.

Fig. 8 presents the effect of presence of the microhooks, needle material, hook base diameter, and microhook pattern density on tissue anchoring performance by comparing the pristine SMN design to barbless microneedles, microneedles printed with a softer photoresist material, microneedles equipped with larger microhooks, and microneedles with fewer microhooks.

The microneedle with no surface microhooks (Type I) relies solely on the frictional force between the needle surface and the surrounding tissue in this anchoring process, and as a result, there is no significant difference between the penetration and pull-out forces with both measuring low force levels. SMNs fabricated with softer photoresist (Type II) provide less effective anchoring (> 4-fold lower pull-out force) compared to the pristine SMN (Type III), indicating the importance of material stiffness (Young’s modulus: 1 GPa vs. 4.6 GPa, [14]) in achieving robust mechanical interlocking between the microhooks and the intestinal tissue.
penetration rate at 0.01 mm/s. For the five different microneedles, with spacing $= 4.6 \text{ GPa}$, microhook base diameter $= \mu m$, axial spacing $= 60 \mu m$, n $= 4$; (II) SMN made of Ormocomp photoresist (soft microhooks, $E = 1 \text{ GPa}$, microhook base diameter $= 8 \mu m$, axial spacing $= 60 \mu m$, n $= 4$); (III) Pristine SMN (IP-S, $E = 4.6 \text{ GPa}$, microhook base diameter $= 8 \mu m$, axial spacing $= 60 \mu m$, n $= 4$); (IV) SMN (IP-S, $E = 4.6 \text{ GPa}$, 2X large microhook base diameter $= 16 \mu m$, axial spacing $= 60 \mu m$, n $= 5$); (V) SMN (IP-S, $E = 4.6 \text{ GPa}$, microhook base diameter $= 8 \mu m$, less microhooks with 1.5X axial spacing $= 90 \mu m$, n $= 4$). (B) Comparisons of penetration forces at 0.4 mm displacement and maximum pull-out force for the five different microneedles, loading rate at 0.01 mm/s.

These findings are consistent with the evolutionary success of the spiny headed worm’s effective parasitic tissue anchoring structure (sclerotized surface hooks). Additionally, SMNs with a doubled hook base diameter (Type IV), showed only a slight increase in pull-out force while the penetration force showed a 2-fold increase compared to the pristine SMN. Note that the hook tip diameter is the same as the pristine SMN (1 $\mu m$) and the needle trunk dimensions remains the same. This suggests that increasing the hook size brings marginal improvement to the strength of tissue anchoring while the increased contact area of the larger microhooks leads to greater penetration resistance, ultimately resulting in a lower PPR.

On the other hand, SMNs with a reduced number of microhooks (Type V, larger microhook axial spacing) demonstrated slightly decreased penetration force (0.3 mN), similar pull-out force (30 mN), and even higher PPR ($\sim 100$). Overall, these investigations determined that a smaller microhook base diameter and lower pattern density are beneficial for low penetration force, while microneedle stiffness increases the required pull-out force for more robust anchoring.

The results suggest that appropriate mechanical stiffness plays an essential role in better tissue anchoring (pull-out), while surface microhook dimensions and pattern density primarily influence tissue penetration.

IV. CONCLUSION

Overall, this work successfully demonstrated a hybrid, passive tissue-anchoring prototype for compact ingestible system integration for prolonged GI interventions. The above characteristics confirm the reliability of the SMU for effective spring compression with a dissolvable polymer and passive actuation. Future works include demonstrating pH-sensitive polymer dissolution for targeted SMU actuation, PEG dissolution and tissue anchoring with the SMU array in a benchtop setting, as well as a test on the dummy capsule that utilizes the SMU package in an in vitro model of a GI tract.
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